


FRANKLIN PIERCE SCHOOL DISTRICT

RFP: Wireless Access Points

No. FPSD_10.24.26



Franklin Pierce School District 402

Address: 315 129th Street South

Tacoma, WA. 98444-5044

BEN: 145282

Request for Proposal for E-Rate Eligible Goods and Services:

IMPORTANT DATES

Request for Proposal Number:	FPSD_10.24.26
Request for Proposal Name:	Wireless Access Points
Form 470 Number:	270002519
Request for Proposal Release Date:	10.24.2026
Questions Due:	11.12.2026 by 12:00 PM PST
Proposals Due:	11.30.2026 by 12:00 PM PST.
Proposal Evaluation Period:	12.1.2026
Board Meeting:	TBD
Notice of Intent to Award:	TBD

Appendices:

Appendix A: Certifications	Required
Appendix C: Intent to Bid	Required
Appendix D: Pricing Sheet	Required

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Wireless 2026 Count	Informational
-----------------------------------	---------------

Prepared by: Sean Atherton

Date: 09/28/2026

CONTACT INFORMATION

RFP Administrator:	Adam Williamson
Technical Contact:	Sean Atherton
	For information on submitting questions regarding this RFP, please see Section 1 below.

SECTION 1: QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS RFP

All questions, comments, or concerns regarding this RFP must be submitted to [Adam Williamson](#) and [Sean Atherton](#) .

Applicant staff and the staff at AES will not respond to questions via fax or telephone calls.

Question responses will come in the form of either a direct response or as an addendum or addenda to this RFP. Typically, AES will send the Q&A to each vendor within three days of the deadline once the Questions have passed.

For questions not explicitly related to this RFP, contact **FPS IT Office, 253-298-4647**.

Robo Bids: Due to the complexity and specific nature of the services requested, we require that all bids be submitted manually by an authorized vendor representative, including the necessary documentation, pricing, and terms. Bids submitted through automated systems without a tailored manual response will not be accepted. All vendors must ensure that their proposals accurately reflect a full understanding of the RFP requirements.

"Or Equal" Clause

Unless otherwise stated in the proposal documents, whenever a process, equipment, or material is specified by giving a manufacturer's name, brand, or number, it is understood that the words "or equal" follow thereafter. Where the phrase "or equal" appears or occurs in the proposal documents, do not assume that the Applicant approves a process, equipment, or material unless the item has been specially approved for their work by the Applicant. The applicant's decision is final.

Attempts to contact any other Applicant employee or agent regarding this RFP shall be viewed as an attempt to circumvent the competitive bidding process and may lead to the disqualification of your bid(s).

INTRODUCTION

SECTION 2: PURPOSE OF THIS RFP

The Franklin Pierce School District (hereinafter, the “Applicant”) uses the American e-Rate Solutions (AES) to manage its e-Rate applications and conduct a fair and competitive bid process.

This bid process aims to solicit proposals with the intent of entering a contract or contracts with a qualified company (Respondent or Respondents) to obtain the products and services described in “Project Scope” below.

ABOUT THE APPLICANT

The Franklin Pierce School District is a public school system located in Pierce County, Washington, primarily serving parts of Tacoma, Parkland, Midland and Clover Creek areas.

The school district includes an early learning center, a performing arts center, an agricultural resource center, eight elementary schools, two middle schools, two traditional high schools and one alternative/secondary school.

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

The Applicant’s current wireless infrastructure has reached end-of-life and no longer supports modern network demands. The objectives of this RFP are to:

1. Provide reliable, high-speed Wi-Fi coverage in all instructional, administrative, and common areas.
2. Support a 1:1 student-to-device initiative with capacity for 1200 + concurrent users per site.
3. Ensure robust security, centralized cloud-based management, and scalability for future expansion.
4. Include a five-year support and maintenance plan.

Scope of Work

Project Sites:

Site Name	Address
Ford Middle School	1602 104th St E, Tacoma, WA 98445
Franklin Pierce High Schools	11002 18th Ave E, Tacoma, WA 98445
Perry G Keithley Middle School	12324 12th Ave S, Tacoma, WA 98444
Washington High School	12420 Ainsworth Ave S, Tacoma, WA 98444

Requirements

- Vendors must propose a turnkey solution that includes:
- Supply and installation of new Wireless Access Points (WAPs)
- Mounting hardware, brackets, and cabling as required.
- Network switches (if needed) to support WAP connectivity (PoE+ or PoE++ ports).
- Cloud-based management platform.
- Configuration and deployment services, as needed.
- Testing and validation of coverage and performance.
- Documentation for district IT staff.
- Training for district IT staff if needed.
- Ongoing support, monitoring, and maintenance for at least 5 years.

Technical Specifications

- Must support **Wi-Fi 6 (802.11ax) / Wi-Fi 7 (802.11be)** or higher.
- Dual-band or tri-band radios.
- Backward compatibility with Wi-Fi 5 (802.11ac) devices.
- MU-MIMO, OFDMA, and beamforming capabilities.

Performance and Coverage

- Minimum throughput: 4.8 Gbps aggregate per access point.
- Minimum of 75–100 users per AP under normal load.
- Coverage: 100% indoor coverage (classrooms, offices, libraries, cafeterias, gyms, etc.).
- Outdoor coverage for designated areas (courtyards, bus loops, athletic fields).

Security

- Support for WPA3 enterprise encryption.
- 802.1X authentication (RADIUS integration).
- VLAN segmentation for students, staff, guests, and administrative users.
- Role-based access control and content filtering compatibility.

Redundancy and Resiliency

- Seamless roaming between access points.
- Zero-downtime firmware upgrades.

Integration

- Compatibility with existing Juniper MIST; integration with the Applicant's current environment.
- Option for guest Wi-Fi network with captive portal authentication

Support and Warranty

- 5-year hardware warranty and software support.
- Next-business-day replacement for defective units.
- 24/7 remote monitoring and helpdesk availability.
- Optional extended support and managed service offerings.

Deliverables

The selected vendor shall provide:

- ☐ Complete bill of materials (BOM) for all components.
- ☐ Site survey and heat map design for optimal WAP placement.
- ☐ Installation, configuration, and validation testing, as needed.
- ☐ Comprehensive as-built documentation (drawings, configurations, serial numbers).
- ☐ On-site or remote training for district IT personnel as needed.
- ☐ Maintenance and support agreement documentation.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Preference for Service Provider Invoicing.

The Applicant prefers to be billed only for the non-discounted share and for the Respondent to use the Service Provider Invoicing (SPI) process to bill USAC directly for the discounted portion. However, the Applicant understands that the use of the SPI process may not be feasible if the timing of the Funding Commitment Decision Letter (FCDL) does not support it.

Notice to Proceed

Regardless of selection status, the presence of a fully executed agreement for goods or services, official board action, a Funding Commitment Decision Letter (FCDL), or similar document or action, the Respondent will not begin work until they receive a written a Notice to Proceed (NTP), Service Work Order (SWO), Purchase Order (PO), or another like document from the district.

If the Respondent incurs costs prior to receiving a written NTP, SWO, PO, or similar document, the Respondent is solely responsible for these costs.

Labor, Supervision, Tools, and Materials

The Respondent must provide the necessary labor, supervision, tools, and materials to complete the project as described in their response to this RFP and memorialized in an agreement for goods and services, NTP, SWO, or PO, and any change orders agreed to by both parties.

Required Permits

The Respondent is responsible for providing all required city, county, and state permits, if applicable.

Use of Subcontractors

The Respondent is wholly responsible for all actions and inactions of subcontractors utilized by the Respondent for work resulting from this RFP. The district prefers responses that explicitly identify subcontractors. Respondents may, however, list subcontractors as “To Be Determined” (TBD) unless otherwise specified in this document. The district reserves the right to approve all subcontracts before work begins.

Single Point of Contact

The Respondent must identify a Single Point of Contact (SPC) for all communication with the Applicant during the project. The SPC, as an agent of the Respondent, shall have sufficient authority to act on behalf of the Respondent to coordinate all necessary work and mitigate any issues. The SPC is responsible for coordinating with the individual or individuals designated by the district for all project-specific needs, including scheduling, facility access, security, and work hours.

Billing

The Respondent must provide complete and accurate billing for both one-time installation and monthly recurring costs. At a minimum, invoices must include the Applicant’s name and account number.

Invoices must include all charges separated by line items, including any applicable taxes and fees. Invoices must identify eligible and ineligible items. *Respondents who bundle eligible and ineligible costs into a single line item to hide ineligible items will be fully responsible for any resulting loss of funds.*

On-Premises Work/Delivery

The Respondent must comply with all official and emergency Applicant Policies and Procedures related to visitors for any project that requires access to any Applicant-owned or leased property, including instructional and non-instructional facilities.

For the purposes of complying with federal, state, and local laws and ordinances regarding sex offenders, the Respondent must assume that students will have access to all district properties 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

Background checks are required for any visitor with unsupervised access to students.

Failure to comply may result in the cancellation of any current or pending work.

Start of Service

The Applicant expects installation/services to start on or about 6/28/2027

PROJECT SCOPE

The Applicant seeks to replace Wireless Access Points (WAP's) at four sites: Ford Middle School, Franklin Pierce High School, Keithley Middle School, and Washington High School.

The intent is to replace the existing HPE Aruba WAP's with Juniper Mist APs (Or Equal) with cloud-based management, at the WAPs' current locations. While additional cabling is not expected, it is possible. Models being sought are Juniper AP66 [omni or directional] Outdoor WAPS. The current model is AP-275. For the Indoor WAPS – see attachment Wireless 2026 Count.

Floor plans are available by request to: [Sean Atherton](#) and [Jill Stone](#).

The District is seeking to purchase a total of 302 Wireless Access Points [indoor/outdoor].

Existing physical networking switches include Cisco Catalyst 9200 and 2960 series; both of which can supply PoE+ power (but do not supply PoE++). May recommend PoE++ injectors for wide-area / high-density locations or where needed.

Classroom AP's will be installed by the district, and installation assistance may be needed for exterior or difficult-to-reach locations. Service Provider should provide a price per unit for the installation or a package cost, meaning installation based on the number of hours or the number of units to be installed by the Service Provider.

The District prefers a single bid for the Wireless Access Points vs. by site. The District is purchasing indoor and outdoor Wireless Access Points.

Cloud-based management: The cloud-based management license that operates those access points is also eligible. The ESL makes eligible the software needed to use eligible equipment, such as right-to-use software and client access licenses. Note 1 of the ESL makes eligible “functionalities listed above that can be virtualized in the cloud.” A cloud-hosted controller or management platform does the same job as an on-premises wireless controller, so it receives the same treatment. Under the ESL, applicants should request software in the same category as the equipment it supports. Cloud management licenses for eligible access points should therefore be requested as Category Two Internal Connections, either with the access points or as separate line items.

Starting in Funding Year 2026, the ESL treats bug fixes, security patches, and remote technical support or configuration for eligible equipment as part of Internal Connections, not Basic Maintenance. Firmware updates, security patches, and remote configuration delivered through the cloud management license are covered by the license's Internal Connections eligibility.

Eligibility extends only to the functions that operate and manage eligible equipment. Any ineligible features bundled into the license must be cost-allocated out of the funding request using a reasonable, documented method. Examples include: advanced security services, such as intrusion prevention, threat protection, malware filtering, or content filtering; location, marketing, or analytics tools; management of end-user devices, cameras, or other ineligible equipment.

Cloud management licenses must support access points that serve eligible locations and are used for educational purposes. Where a license runs past the funding year, the applicant should make sure the funding request reflects only eligible costs, consistent with USAC guidance. If an outside provider manages and operates the wireless network instead of the applicant, that service is a Managed Internal Broadband Service (MIBS), not a software license, and is evaluated separately.

Notes on using it:

Licenses vs. MIBS. The FCC's FY2027 draft list asks whether MIBS should be limited or made ineligible. Keeping the cloud license (eligible software) separate from managed Wi-Fi in quotes and on the Form 471 protects the request either way.

Cost allocation. The vendor shall split eligible and ineligible license features on the quote. Security bundles on cloud-managed platforms are the most common cost-allocation issue in PIA review. [See Appendix D: Pricing Sheet]

FY2027. The final FY2027 list hadn't been adopted as of October 24, 2026.

HOW TO APPLY

All bids must be submitted by email to [Adam Williamson](#) & [Sean Atherton](#) with a CC to [Jill Stone](#) and [Devrie Prouty](#) and be received no later than **12:00 PM PST on November 30, 2026**.

- ☐ All bids must meet the minimum requirements of this RFP.
- ☐ All vendors must have a SPIN and a current SPAC on file with USAC and be on Green Light Status with USAC.

SECTION 3: CONTENTS OF PROPOSAL

Incorporation by reference is not allowable: Respondents must submit proposals in a fixed form without links or external references.

CERTIFICATIONS [REQUIRED]

Once a Respondent begins an application, they will be required to provide specific contact information and make specific certifications before submitting any other proposal elements. The requested information can be found in **Appendix A**. By submitting this information and agreeing

to the required certification, the Respondent is indicating that they have the rights and authority to make such certifications on behalf of their agency. Inability or unwillingness by the Respondent to make a specific certification is not a reason to automatically disqualify the application, and the Respondent will have the opportunity to upload an explanation for any uncertifiable elements. However, the Applicant will use any uncertified elements and subsequent explanations in the bid evaluation process.

BRIEF AGENCY BACKGROUND [REQUIRED]

No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF). Please ensure this document includes sufficient information to determine whether your organization has the background, experience, and resources to complete this project on time and on budget, if selected. At a minimum, this information must include:

- Company history, including history in the Region/State of the proposed project.
- Financial information with enough information to determine if you have the resources to complete a project of this size, billing the district for only the undiscounted portion.
- Number of employees by relevant classifications (e.g., engineers, installers) and relevant certifications.

Location of the main office and any local/regional/state offices relevant to the project.

PRICE OF ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE GOODS AND SERVICES [REQUIRED]

The Respondent must submit pricing on the attached spreadsheet (Appendix D). Pricing presented in any other way will be deemed non-responsive. Modifications to the provided spreadsheet are not allowed.

General Pricing Requirements

Pricing in US Dollars

All pricing must be submitted in US dollars only.

Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP)

The Respondent must offer the Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP) for all goods and services. The FCC defines LCP as the lowest price that a service provider charges to similarly situated nonresidential customers for similar services. See 47 CFR § 54.500.

Eligible and Ineligible Goods and Services

The Respondent's responsibility is to understand the eligible and ineligible components of the goods and services included in their proposal and assume all liability in any misrepresentation of eligibility, including compensating the district for any loss or reduction in funding due to their misrepresentation. The Respondent is not, however, responsible for identifying use-based eligibility. For example, Uninterruptable Power Supplies (UPSs) are eligible only when they

protect eligible equipment. The district's responsibility is to identify eligible and ineligible percentages based on the use of goods and services.

Taxes and Other Fees

The Respondent must include all taxes and other fees. Failure to include taxes and fees will render this section non-responsive.

Price Protection

All Proposals must include a certification of fixed pricing for the length of the contract.

Costs not Specified

While Respondents are not required to bid on all aspects of this RFP to be deemed responsive, they must identify any components they are not bidding on as "no bid." The district will assume that if a Respondent leaves any line at "\$0.00," the item is provided at no cost. In cases where a Respondent breaks down an item into individual components (e.g., a switch and a power supply), they must provide pricing for each component.

Pricing of Optional Components

No Waiver Requirement for Pricing

In reviewing the scope of work and technical specification, a Respondent might identify other goods or services not included in this RFP that could enhance the user experience. The Respondent may consist of these items as an attachment to the cost proposal, along with a brief description of why they are required. The Applicant will not evaluate optional components as a part of this RFP process.

Pricing proposals must be inclusive of all costs, including any associated taxes, fees, or other similar charges. Cost proposals are considered non-waivable unless the Applicant explicitly waives a specific term or terms in the proposal, in a separate document. Signing a contract with different terms does not constitute a waiver for the purposes of this procurement.

PROPOSED CONTRACT [REQUIRED]

No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF). Please include a sample contract outlining the general terms and conditions for your proposed work.

MANUFACTURER'S WARRANTIES [REQUIRED]

No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF). Please include the manufacturer's warranty for all hardware. Per program rules, a manufacturer's warranty for a period up to three years, provided as an integral part of an eligible component without a separate identifiable cost, may be included in the cost of the component. Warranties should include the following:

- List of all equipment covered by the warranties by part number
- Terms of the warranties (replacement/loss/etc.)
- Length of warranties

If part numbers are not included on the actual warranty, Proposers must summarize this in a table and include it with the actual warranties.

REFERENCES [REQUIRED]

Respondents must provide three references, preferably from schools in Washington State and from customers of similar size/need. References must include the following required items:

- Full name
- Company/organization name
- Position at company/organization
- Full address (street address, city, state, zip)
- Telephone number
- Email address
- Name of project or projects completed
- Value of project or projects completed

For a newly formed business entity, including corporations, partnerships, and sole proprietors, or a contractor-teaming arrangement, you must state that you were not the “Contractor” for the named project and must state whose experience on the named project is relevant to that reference.

No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF).

COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE [PROVISIONALLY REQUIRED]

No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF). If you are proposing the make/model/parts as described in Washington State, you are not required to complete this section. All other respondents must provide clear documentation that their proposed solution meets or exceeds the specifications of the requested solution, as well as address the following two questions directly:

- **RESELLER AUTHORIZATION [IF REQUIRED]**
No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF).
- **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION [OPTIONAL]**
No page limit, but you are limited to one file (such as a PDF). You may include white papers, technical specifications, and other information. *This will not be scored.*

SECTION 4: EVALUATION

The evaluation of proposals is a two-tiered process. Tier One consists of an administrative review of compliance with mandatory requirements listed above. The Applicant or Agent scores

all Tier One components either pass or fail, and a Proposer must pass all requirements to move to the next Tier.

Tier One: Administrative Review of Compliance

Appendix A: Required Certification	Pass/Fail
Appendix C: Intent to Bid Letter	Pass/Fail
Appendix D: Pricing Sheet	Pass/Fail
Vendor Compliance with USAC [SPAC filed]	Pass/Fail

During the Tier Two evaluation, each required component will be scored on a scale of 1-5 and adjusted based on its relative weight, as described below. The weighting for each component is shown in the table below:

Section	Weight
Price of Eligible Goods and Services	45%
Compatibility with Existing infrastructure	20%
Manufacturer's Warranties	15%
References/Past Performance	10%
Reseller Authorization	10%
	100%

SECTION 5: REQUIRED CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

While Respondents are required to submit a contract with standard terms and conditions as a part of their complete response, any final contract will be negotiated between the Applicant and the respondent. There is no expectation or assurance that the district will agree to the apparently successful respondent's standard terms and conditions.

ASSIGNMENT

The Respondent may not assign or subcontract any portion of its obligation under this contract without prior written permission from the Applicant.

SECTION 6: DEFINITIONS

Past performance includes any past performance, conduct, and direct experience with the Respondent based on previous contracts with the Applicant, including, but not limited to:

1. Illegal or unethical conduct,
2. Refusal of the Respondent to honor submitted pricing,
3. Failure to meet service level agreements,
4. Failure to meet agreed-upon timelines,

5. Failure to identify potential or actual conflicts of interest.

Respondent means the agency or the individual submitting a response to this RFP.

Non-Responsive Bid means, in addition to the criteria listed above, any bid that includes language that indicates that the proposal does not constitute a binding offer or includes language of a similar intent. Non-responsive bids will be rejected without further consideration or evaluation.

SECTION 7: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Any modification of this RFP that results in substantial changes to the Statement of Work will be published in an Addendum to this RFP. If applicable, this RFP and any subsequent addendum shall be posted on the Schools and Libraries Divisions EPC system. The Respondent's responsibility is to ensure they have reviewed the RFP and any addenda before submitting a proposal.

The Applicant reserves the right not to answer some questions if, in the Applicant's and their agents' opinion, the answer is not necessary to provide a qualified bid.

The Respondent's responsibility is to ensure that they have reviewed all questions and answers before submitting their proposal.

Authorized Signature. Every proposal must be signed by the person or persons legally authorized to bind the Respondent to a contract. Bids submitted by a third-party agent for equipment or services on behalf of another entity must include a valid letter of authorization, power of attorney, or other documentation sufficient to certify the agent's authority to bind the Respondent.

Availability of Funds. Awarding of a contract may be contingent upon funding through the E-Rate program. If funding is denied, the Applicant is not obligated to complete the project described in this RFP, in whole or in part.

Award of Proposal. The award of any contract resulting from this RFP may be contingent upon approval of funding from the Schools and Libraries Division of the Universal Services Administration (USAC).

Award Rights. The Applicant retains the right to award all or part of the work described in this RFP to one or more Respondents. The Applicant offers no guarantee of the volume of work or the exclusivity of the contract.

Cancellation. The Applicant retains the right to cancel this RFP and all supporting documents at any time. Cancellation notices shall be posted as an addendum in the Schools and Libraries Division EPC system.

Changes in the Eligible Services List. If during a multi-year contract, a product or service is no longer listed as 'eligible' for reimbursement, or if the eligible percentage decreased by 25% or more, the Applicant reserves the right to cancel the contract effective on the last day of the current fund year, or the last day of the previous year the product or service is considered eligible, whichever is later. However, this does not negate the Applicant's responsibility for past-due amounts or amounts financed, but waives all early termination penalties, with the following exception: If the Respondent included in their initial proposal a clearly defined discount as an incentive for signing a multi-year contract. The Respondent may collect a pro-rated portion of that discount or any early termination penalties, whichever is less.

Clarifications. The Applicant reserves the right to clarify any point in the proposal and to secure any necessary information to properly evaluate the proposal, including contacting subcontractors listed in the proposal. Failure of a Respondent or subcontractors to respond to such a request for additional information or clarification may result in the proposal's rejection. The Applicant's retention of this right shall in no way reduce the responsibility of the Respondent to submit a complete, accurate, and clear proposal.

Compliance with Local, State, and Federal Laws. The Respondent must know, understand, and comply with all local ordinances and state and federal rules, regulations, and laws related to the competitive bid process.

Compliance with Applicable Codes and Standards. It is the responsibility of the Respondent to identify all regulatory codes and/or agencies having jurisdiction and governing or affecting the execution of this proposal, and to ensure conformance with those codes and agencies.

Confidentiality. Proposals received in response to this RFP may be subject to public records requests. It is the Respondent's responsibility to mark any information that is proprietary or otherwise exempt from federal, state, or local public information requests. (c.f. The Freedom of Information Act, 5. USC § 552). Marking the entire proposal as "proprietary" may result in its rejection.

The Applicant shall, to the best of their ability, protect any information marked as "proprietary." However, the decision to release proposals or parts of proposals remains with the Applicant.

Conflict of Interest. Respondents must declare any current or potential conflicts of interest.

Cooperation with the E-Rate Program, Including Reviews and Audits. All E-rate applications are subject to detailed questioning during PIA Reviews, Selective Reviews, Payment Quality Assurance Reviews, and Audits. Respondents agree, when submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, to promptly and accurately provide all information necessary to all requests for information from the FCC, USAC, and their agents.

Cost Allocation of Ineligible Products and Services. The Respondent is responsible for correctly applying cost allocation to otherwise eligible products and services and correctly identifying ineligible products/services on the bid form.

Cost of Preparing Proposal. The Respondent is responsible for all costs incurred in preparing their proposal (s). The Applicant will not reimburse the Respondent for any expenses incurred in preparing their response.

COVID-19 and Other Infectious Diseases. If selected, the Respondent must comply with all federal, state, and local mandates and recommendations concerning the use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), social distancing, sanitation, proof of vaccination, and so forth. If a mandate or recommendation conflicts, the district will establish the standard for compliance.

Debarment. The Respondent must not be debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, voluntarily excluded, or otherwise declared ineligible to enter a contract with the district by any local, state, or federal department or agency. The Respondent agrees to notify the Applicant and the AES of any change to this status.

Design Limitations of this RFP. The specifications in this RFP represent a functional description and performance criteria for required systems. The Respondent is responsible for the actual system engineering and design activities that shall lead to the final system configuration (c.f. "Cost of Preparing Proposal" above).

Errors in the Proposal. Respondents are responsible for all errors and omissions in their proposal, and any such errors or omissions shall not serve to diminish their obligations to the Applicant. The agreement and any written and fully signed amendments to it.

- The Applicant's RFP and any written amendments to it.
- The Respondent's Response to the RFP and any authorized written amendment or clarifications to it.

Incorporation of the RFP and Proposal in the Final Agreement. This RFP and the selected Respondent's/Respondents' response, including all promises, warranties, commitments, and representations made, shall be binding and incorporated by reference into the Applicant's contract with the Respondent.

Proposal Disposition. All materials submitted in response to this RFP shall become the property of the Applicant.

Reseller Authorization. When applicable, Respondent must produce upon request evidence of reseller authorization from the hardware or software manufacturer.

Right to Waive Irregularities. The Applicant reserves the right to waive minor irregularities that do not otherwise impact the mandatory requirements of this RFP. The Applicant also reserves the right to waive mandatory requirements if all the otherwise responsive proposals failed to meet the specific requirement. Doing so does not materially affect the scope of the project.

Service Provider Identification Number. The Respondent must have a valid SPIN at the time the proposal is submitted and must not be in 'red-light' status with the Federal Communications Commission, as listed here: <https://apps.fcc.gov/redlight/login.cfm>

Severability. If any provision or part of a provision of this Request for Proposal is found invalid, illegal, unenforceable, or in violation of FCC rules, that portion shall be modified or severed from this RFP, and the remaining provisions shall be deemed valid and enforceable. In the event of a modification or removal of any provision or part of a provision of this RFP, AES shall publish an addendum to the Schools and Libraries Division EPC system.

Taxes and Other Fees. The Respondent must include sales taxes and other fees in the proposal.

Terms of Validity. The proposal should be valid for 180 days or the date of the FCDL, whichever is greater.

Use of Subcontractors. In all cases, the Respondent shall serve as the sole point of contact concerning any subcontracted services, equipment, software, and supplies, and shall ensure that all subcontractors comply with the terms of this RFP and subsequent agreement (s). Responsibility for all work shall be the sole responsibility of the Respondent.

Withdrawal of Proposal. The Respondent may withdraw their proposal from consideration at any time before the bid opening by contacting [Jill Stone](#).