



New England Telehealth Consortium

Healthcare Connect Fund

Rutland Regional Medical Center

Internet, PRI and SIP Services

Request for Proposal

RFP-3235

1. Statement of Purpose

- 1.1 Established by the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") Report and Order released December 21, 2012 (FCC 12-150), the Healthcare Connect Fund is a Universal Service Fund program, authorized by Congress and administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC"). This Request for Proposal ("RFP") seeks services to be provided pursuant to the Healthcare Connect Fund.
- 1.2 The New England Telehealth Consortium ("NETC") is a regional healthcare consortium in northern New England comprised of over 300 healthcare providers. NETC was formed in 2007 in response to the FCC's Rural Health Care Pilot Program and was the recipient of \$24.6m in funding commitment, the largest single award through the pilot program.
- 1.3 NETC is comprised of both rural and urban locations, which allows the consortium to meet the needs of patients at any location within the network, even if those patients have to travel to an urban location for specialized care.
- 1.4 NETC operates a three-state redundant IP/MPLS private network across three states, providing private network services, commodity Internet, and Internet2 connections for participating healthcare providers.
- 1.5 In addition to the existing NETC network infrastructure, participating healthcare systems often require additional private and public network facilities that are interconnected with the primary NETC network. These additional network facilities are eligible for subsidy under the Healthcare Connect Fund.
- 1.6 This RFP seeks pricing for Internet, PRI and SIP Services for Rutland Regional Medical Center, who will be the billing entity associated with this RFP.

2. Project Correspondence and Questions

- 2.1 All project correspondence and questions shall be by email to:

RFP Administrator
HealthConnect Networks
145 Exchange Street
Bangor, ME 04401
Email: rfp@healthconnectnetworks.com

3. Schedule

- 3.1 Proposals will be disqualified if an electronic copy, in either Microsoft Word or Portable Document Format (preferred), is not received prior to the Allowable Contract Selection Date (ACSD) listed on USAC's website; unless no other proposals are received. <https://rhc.usac.org/hcf/public/searchPosted.htm>
- 3.2 This RFP has been submitted with a 28-day competitive bidding period.
- 3.3 Proposals shall be submitted to RFP Administrator, HealthConnect Networks, by email at rfp@healthconnectnetworks.com.
- 3.4 Please indicate "NETC RFP-3235 Proposal" on the email subject line.

4. Instructions to Responding Vendors

- 4.1 Responding Vendors shall use the numbering convention in this RFP when formatting their response. The Responding Vendor's response shall be explained in detail and shall indicate how the Responding Vendor proposes to satisfy each requirement, where necessary. **At the very least, the Responding Vendor must indicate compliance, non-compliance, understood or exception for each line item.**
- 4.2 Responding Vendors shall cite specific terms and conditions to which the Responding Vendor takes exception. The Responding Vendor shall state the exact requirement to which exception is taken. Any cost impact associated with an exception shall be identified and included in the proposal.
- 4.3 All proposals shall be electronic and signed by the Responding Vendor.
- 4.4 Responding Vendors should submit any questions, noted errors, discrepancies, ambiguities, exceptions, or deficiencies they have concerning this RFP by emailing such requests, with "NETC RFP-3235 Inquiry" in the subject line, to RFP Administrator, HealthConnect Networks at rfp@healthconnectnetworks.com on or before the 14th day following the posting of this RFP on the USAC website. Answers to all questions/requests will be posted on the NETC website, www.netelc.org, on or before the 20th day following the posting of this RFP on the USAC website. If applicable, state the section number being referenced.
- 4.5 Responding Vendors shall take all responsibility for any errors or omissions in their quote or proposal.
- 4.6 No contract will be awarded except to responsible vendors capable of performing the work requested. Responding Vendor's employees shall be trained and qualified to perform the work and operate all required equipment. Before the award of the Contract, any Responding Vendor may be required to show that they have the necessary facilities, experience, ability and financial resources to perform the work in a satisfactory manner.
- 4.7 Requested Contract Period: NETC requests responses for a 36-month contract period.
- 4.8 All proposals submitted shall be valid for one year, or until the contract is signed, whichever comes first.
- 4.9 Negligence on the part of the Responding Vendor in preparing the proposal confers no right of withdrawal after the time fixed for the receipt of the proposals.
- 4.10 All proposals shall provide a straightforward, concise delineation of the Responding Vendor's capabilities to satisfy the requirements of this invitation. Emphasis should be on completeness and clarity of content.
- 4.11 NETC reserves the right to require Responding Vendors to demonstrate a proof of concept of their offering.
- 4.12 It is the responsibility of the Responding Vendors to review, evaluate and request clarification prior to submittal of a proposal.
- 4.13 Based on the required interaction process with the FCC and USAC, it is not possible to determine a definitive project start date – as it is dependent on approvals and posting where NETC has limited control.
- 4.14 Each Responding Vendor must name the project manager that Responding Vendor will assign to the project along with a description of the project manager's qualifications.

- 4.15 Responding Vendors are encouraged to provide professional references from similar projects, including: contact name, mailing address, phone number, and email address.
- 4.16 NETC reserves the right to seek clarification of each Proposal or to make an award without further discussion of the Proposals received. Therefore, it is important that each Proposal be organized and submitted in a clear and complete manner.
- 4.17 Each Responding Vendor must have a current FCC Registration Number (FRN). More information about obtaining an FRN can be found at <https://apps.fcc.gov/coresWeb/publicHome.do>.
- 4.18 Each Responding Vendor must have a current USAC 498 ID (also known as a Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN)). More information about obtaining the 498 ID can be found at <http://www.usac.org/sp/about/obtain-498ID/default.aspx>.
- 4.19 All materials submitted in response to the RFP become the property of NETC. If there is any concern about confidentiality, mark the appropriate pages of your response "Confidential." NETC will attempt to honor all reasonable requests for vendor confidentiality.
- 4.20 The Responding Vendor shall comply with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations related to the performance of the contract to the extent that the same may be applicable.
- 4.21 A Proposal may be rejected in whole or in part if it limits or modifies any terms and conditions and/or specifications of this RFP.
- 4.22 By responding, the Responding Vendor states that the Proposal is not made in connection with any competing Responding Vendor submitting a separate response to the RFP and is, in all aspects, fair and without collusion or fraud.
- 4.23 Any and all information provided to vendors by NETC or its sites, is considered to be proprietary information and must be used solely for the purpose of preparing the proposal and is not to be released outside the Responding Vendor organization without written permission from NETC or its sites.
- 4.24 Responding Vendor shall list their experience with the FCC Rural Healthcare USF program and process.
- 4.25 In the event that Dark Fiber is requested in this RFP modulation equipment is not required unless explicitly requested in section 11.

5. Authorized Negotiator

- 5.1 The proposal shall be signed by the person authorized to legally bind the proposal.
- 5.2 The proposal shall designate an authorized negotiator who shall be empowered to make binding commitments.

6. Responding Vendors Responsibility for Proposal Costs

- 6.1 The Responding Vendor shall be fully responsible for all proposal development and submittal costs. NETC assumes no contractual or financial obligation as a result of issuance of this RFP.

7. Compliance with Laws, Permits, Rules

- 7.1 The Successful Vendor shall comply with all rules, regulations, ordinances, codes and laws relating to the work or the conduct thereof and shall secure and pay for any permits and licenses necessary for the execution of the work.
- 7.2 The Successful Vendor shall be subject to the safety department's workplace rules at a given site.

8. Insurance

- 8.1 The Successful Vendor shall agree to maintain General Liability Insurance, Worker's Compensation and Employer's Liability Insurance, where applicable, to cover all its personnel engaged in the performance of the services herein described as well as damages arising as a result of the performance of such services.
- 8.2 The Successful Vendor further agrees to require its subcontractor(s), if any, to maintain General Liability Insurance, Worker's Compensation and Employer's Liability Insurance, where applicable. The amounts of such coverage shall be as reasonably determined by Successful Vendor.
- 8.3 Proof of policies shall be provided to NETC with proposal.

9. General Network Requirements

- 9.1 NETC invites Responding Vendors to bid on the whole network or individual sites, services, and/or facilities.
- 9.2 NETC prefers that services be delivered over technology that provides for symmetrical bandwidth, except where specific requests for asymmetrical bandwidth may be noted.
- 9.3 Internet Services offered should be capable of supporting IPv4 and IPv6 and should include static IP addresses, as necessary and justified by each participating HCP.
- 9.4 Bandwidths listed for each particular HCP may indicate multiple bandwidth increments. The first (**bold**) is the minimum requirement. Responding Vendors shall provide pricing for all bandwidths listed for each HCP. If a carrier is unable to meet the requirement cost-effectively, it should respond with pricing for the maximum bandwidth it can provide.
- 9.5 Responding Vendor shall provide their operational expectations for the following network metrics, with the anticipation that these network metrics will become the basis for a Service Level Agreement to be negotiated with the Selected Vendor:
 - 9.5.1 Network Availability: Expressed as a percentage (in the form of ###.###%).
- 9.6 Site and Service Substitutions. Responding Vendor shall allow for Site and Service Substitutions pursuant to Appendix D, 47 C.F.R. § 54.646. The Responding Vendor shall allow NETC to add sites and/or upgrade, change, or relocate services through the length of the contract-term without having to rebid.

10. Service Level Agreement

- 10.1 Responding Vendor shall provide their operational expectations for the following network metrics, with the anticipation that these network metrics will become the basis for a Service Level Agreement.

- 10.2 Packet Delivery: Expressed in percentage (in the form of ##.###%) of packets the network is expected to deliver
- 10.3 Latency: Expressed in milliseconds for round trip time between any two HCPs
- 10.4 Jitter: Expressed in milliseconds between any two HCPs
- 10.5 Network Availability: Expressed as a percentage (in the form of ##.###%).
- 10.6 Response Time: Expressed in terms of initial trouble report response time in minutes and on-site response time in hours.
- 10.7 Planned Network Maintenance: Please indicate the standard notification Responding Vendor provides to customers for planned network maintenance. Responding Vendor should describe their standard network maintenance window.
- 10.8 Escalation Procedures: Responding Vendor should provide NOC initial point of contact and trouble escalation procedures.
- 10.9 Security Incidents: Responding Vendor shall list any programs and procedures in place specifically for monitoring and resolving security incidents.
- 10.10 Credit Allowances: Responding Vendor must provide a listing of the credit allowances and/or refunds that may be assessed based upon service outages. Responding Vendor should state the outage intervals and the refund amounts. For example, if service were unavailable for 30 minutes, state the refund amount. If service were unavailable for an hour, state the refund amount.

11. Sites and Services

- 11.1 Rutland Regional Medical Center is seeking services at the following HCPs:

Rutland Regional Medical Center 160 Allen St. Rutland, VT 05701 HCP# 14215	Admin Office (West St) 439 West Street Rutland, VT 05701 HCP# 18524
---	--

- 11.2 The services to be provided are Internet, PRI and SIP Services.
- 11.3 **Network Diagram**: A current Network Diagram is provided in Appendix A.
- 11.4 **Internet**
- 11.4.1 **Rutland Regional Medical Center** (HCP#14215) seeks a bid for Ethernet Dedicated Internet Access (EDIA) (or equivalent)
- 11.4.1.1 Vendor shall provide pricing for all available symmetrical bandwidth increments from **500 Mbps** to 1 Gbps.
- 11.5 **PRI and SIP**
- 11.5.1 **Rutland Regional Medical Center** (HCP#14215) seeks a bid for the following PRI (or equivalent) services.
- 11.5.1.1 Vendors shall provide pricing for three (3) PRI's (or equivalent).
- 11.5.1.2 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:
- Is customer portal access available
 - If yes, provide a description of portal capabilities and features customers have access to, e.g., Call forward DID Numbers in Real Time, Re-route Toll-free Numbers in Real

Time, View, and Pay Invoices, Create and View Reports of Services by Type, etc. Vendors are also requested to provide the following information with their bids. What is the cost for DID blocks? How are they broken down; 100 blocks or fewer numbers? What is the cost per toll-free number? What is the cost per minute for usage including local, 1+ long distance, and toll-free calling? What are the billed increments?

11.5.1.3 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:

- Cost per DID Block, including minimum and incremental options for blocks of numbers.
- Per minute cost for local and 1+ Outbound and Toll-free Inbound, including billed increments.
- Fees and Surcharges, including Toll-free Number, Admin fees, E911 fees, etc.

11.5.1.4 PRI (or equivalent) configuration details will be negotiated with the carrier after selection.

11.5.2 **Rutland Regional Medical Center** (HCP#14215) Rutland Regional Medical Center also seeks a bid for a scalable Unified Communications platform to provide business continuity in the event of a catastrophic network and/or voice failure that prevents the hospital from completing inbound and outbound calling. The proposed solution will only be deployed at the time of a network or voice interruption; therefore, it is required to be turned up from an idle minimum state to a fully scalable quick response voice option for strategically identified locations within the hospital campus such as a command center, emergency department, etc. It is expected the hospital would require up to 25 licenses or seats at maximum capacity during an extended service interruption.

11.5.2.1 Vendors shall provide pricing for a **3 Mbs** SIP (or equivalent) service. SIP (or equivalent) must be provided over a dedicated private connection (handoff can be virtual or physical) to the Vendors softswitch network.

11.5.2.2 SIP (or equivalent) configuration details will be negotiated with the carrier after selection.

11.5.2.3 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:

- Describe the components and associated costs necessary to deploy and maintain the proposed solution including, circuit access and port, and hardware including phones, switches, or routers, licenses, and seat fees, etc.

11.5.2.4 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:

- Describe the minimum number of seats or licenses up to a maximum number of seats or licenses, as well as the correlating concurrent calls based on the bandwidth proposed. Discuss in detail the time and process to scale the solution from minimum state to fully expanded to the maximum number of additions for the bandwidth proposed.

- 11.5.2.5 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:
 - Describe all costs not included in the license and/or seat fees, for example any billable usage or surcharges and fees not included above.
- 11.5.2.6 Vendors are also requested to provide the following:
 - Describe the features of the Unified Communications portal, including access and capabilities as described below.
 - Describe the feature availability of the proposed solution such as mobile integration, types of line and profile configurations, presence, instant messaging, reception, conference room, white boards, etc.
 - Include description of features such as Call forward DID Numbers in Real Time, Re-route Toll-free Numbers in Real Time, View, and Pay Invoices, Create and View Reports of Services by Type, etc.

12. Miscellaneous Fees

- 12.1 Responding Vendor shall state the Universal Service Fund fee or percentage if applicable. If applicable, Responding Vendor shall define the charge and describe how it is calculated.
- 12.2 Because NETC obtains federal subsidies on costs identified through the RFP process, it is critical for the Responding Vendor to provide an accurate estimate of all costs that may be incurred by HCPs, including non-recurring costs, monthly recurring costs, taxes, and fees that may apply.
- 12.3 The Responding Vendor should define those charges and describe how they are calculated so that NETC can include all anticipated costs in the subsidy request under the Healthcare Connect Fund program.

13. Evergreen Contracts

- 13.1 NETC wishes to maximize the opportunity to obtain Evergreen contracts that result from this RFP; therefore, the following provisions should be included in final contract language:
 - 13.1.1 It should refer to itself as a Master Services Agreement;
 - 13.1.2 It should specify Net 45-day payment terms;
 - 13.1.3 It should be signed by the individual Billing Entity;
 - 13.1.4 It should specify the service type, bandwidth, and quantity;
 - 13.1.5 It should specify the term of the contract;
 - 13.1.6 It should specify the cost of services to be provided;
 - 13.1.7 It should include the physical addresses or other identifying information of the HCPs purchasing from the agreement;
 - 13.1.8 It should specify that upon reaching the end of the original contract term that services will continue at the same rates as during the contract term;

- 13.1.9 It should specify that extension of services beyond the original contract term is automatic unless sufficient notification to terminate is given by either party;
- 13.1.10 It should contain language that allows for Site and Service Substitutions pursuant to Appendix D, 47 C.F.R. § 54.646. The Responding Vendor shall allow the Billing Entity to add sites and/or upgrade, change, or relocate services and/or bandwidth. This language permits the billing entity to make network changes without going to competitive bid.

14. Billing

- 14.1 Rutland Regional Medical Center shall be the billing entity.
- 14.2 Responding Vendor shall describe their capability to provide for duplicate electronic or paper billing.
- 14.3 Reimbursement for network services must comply with Healthcare Connect Fund rules and procedures, and the terms of payment must accommodate USAC billing and payment timeframes. Initial payments are contingent upon delivery of service.
- 14.4 Each Responding Vendor must clearly and specifically state their understanding of and adherence to the FCC/USAC Healthcare Connect Fund payment procedures.
- 14.5 ACH Transfer will be the preferred method of payment.
- 14.6 Additional Fees:
 - 14.6.1 Proposing Vendor must include all fees and taxes that will be assessed on any services provided to Rutland Regional Medical Center.
 - 14.6.2 Successful Vendors must agree that Late Fees will not be assessed against the portion of the invoice funded by USAC.

15. Evaluation and Selection Criteria

- 15.1 NETC will select the most cost effective vendor per USAC requirements. Each Responding Vendor is encouraged to provide detailed responses to demonstrate its experience and expertise in providing Internet and Voice Service. The selection will be based on all factors listed and may not go to the lowest price proposal if price is outweighed by a combination of other features and factors in the winning Responding Vendor's proposal.
- 15.2 NETC reserves the right to select proposals that, in the sole judgment of NETC, most nearly conform to the specifications set forth herein, will best serve the needs of NETC and its participants, and provides the most cost-effective means of producing those results.
- 15.3 NETC is not obligated to accept or select any proposal received in response to this RFP. In particular, NETC may select proposals in whole or in part, or it may disqualify any and all proposals received.
- 15.4 Changes in applicable laws and rules may affect the award process or any resulting contracts. Responding Vendors are responsible for determining legal requirements and restrictions that may apply. Responding Vendors are encouraged to visit the official Federal websites pertaining to the Healthcare Connect Fund at:
<http://www.universalservice.org/rhc/healthcare-connect/default.aspx>

15.5 The selection decisions made by NETC and reported to USAC under this RFP are final.

15.6 NETC will evaluate proposals and select vendors based on the following criteria:

Criteria	Scoring Weight
Costs	20%
Ease of Implementation	20%
Experience with Vendor	20%
Technical Merit of Proposal	20%
Compliance with HCF Payment Process and Rules	20%

15.6.1 Costs may include, among other things, monthly recurring costs, non-recurring costs, taxes and fees, the termination liability associated with existing contractual obligations, and any additional costs that the HCP may potentially realize based on any given vendor selection.

15.6.2 In evaluating Ease of Implementation, the Consortium will consider, among other things, the time to install, the disruption of existing services, the complexity of the installation, HCP requirements proposed by the vendor, and the impact on healthcare operations.

15.6.3 With regard to Experience with Vendor, the Consortium will score vendors based on guidance from the Healthcare Connect Fund Order and the following criteria:

15.6.3.1 The bid evaluator's previous experience with the service provider or proposing vendor.

15.6.3.2 References from Customers of the Proposing Vendor for similar projects of the same size and scope.

15.6.3.3 Documentation from the proposing vendor that demonstrates the vendor's experience with similar projects of the same size and scope.

15.6.4 Technical Merit of Proposal scores will be assigned based on how well the proposed solution meets the current Healthcare needs of the HCP. This may include, among other things, service level agreement language, technology description, continuity of network platform, diversity and redundancy in the service provider's network, diversity from existing services, transport technology, reliability, technical support capabilities, the availability of local technicians and repair garages, scalability, expandability, and future network capabilities.

15.6.5 Compliance with HCF Payment Process and Rules, scores will either receive full points or zero points for this criterion, depending on whether the vendor agrees to comply with the process.

16. Rejection/Negotiation Rights

16.1 NETC reserves the right to disqualify any proposals for substantial non-compliance with the terms of this RFP. NETC reserves the right to accept or negotiate the contractual terms of any proposal(s) in response to this RFP.

16.2 NETC reserves the right to select multiple service providers, including which circuits each HCP will purchase from selected service providers.

Appendix A: Current Rutland Regional Medical Center Diagram

